

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Case No. 00-581

In re Application of:)		
	Nix et al.)		
)	Group Art Unit: 2661	
Serial No.:	09/872,904)	ra i mp.	
m:1 1)	Examiner: TBA	
Filed:	May 31, 2001)		
For:	PACKET-SWITCHED TELEPHONY)		
101.	CALL SERVER)	RECEIVED	RECEIVED
		,	,	1 5 2002
Commissioner for Patents			JUL 1 7 2002	JUL 1 5 2002
Washington, D.C. 20231			055105 OF 0571710110	Technology Center 2600
•			OFFICE OF PETITIONS	looillology com

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.47(a)

Sir:

Applicants file this Request in reply to the DECISION REFUSING TO ACCORD STATUS UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.47(a), mailed May 9, 2002. The Decision noted deficiencies in two items: (1) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims, and drawings); and (2) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116. Item (2) is addressed first, followed by item (1).

With regard to item (2), enclosed herewith is a declaration believed to be in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.63 and 1.64 signed by the signing inventors on behalf of the nonsigning inventor. This new declaration includes inventor Wiles' citizenship, residence and mailing address. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that item (2) has been satisfied.

With regard to item (1), enclosed is a Declaration of Marcus J. Thymian that provides evidence that a copy of the complete application papers was mailed via Federal Express to Mr. Wiles' last known address. A return receipt showing the signature of Mr. Wiles is also included.

Express Mail Label No. EL 738029983 US, Deposited July 9, 2002

A cover letter of instructions accompanied the mailing of the application papers and set a

deadline/statement that no response constituted a refusal. As such, Applicants submit that a

showing of refusal by conduct has been made.

Should this additional evidence still not suffice for item (1), Applicants have also

enclosed a Second Declaration of John A. Nix providing additional evidence of earlier

communications between Mr. Nix and Mr. Wiles. The substance and results of the

communications are stated and made by Mr. Nix, the person having firsthand knowledge thereof,

and serve to show that Mr. Wiles was provided with an electronic copy of the complete

application papers, as well as a declaration. An oral refusal made by Mr. Wiles is also described

in the Second Declaration of John A. Nix.

Applicant believes no fee is due. Please charge any underpayment or credit any

overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-2490. Should the Petitions Examiner have any

questions, he or she is invited to contact the undersigned attorney using the given contact

information.

Respectfully submitted,

Go2Call.com, Inc.

July 9, 2002

By

Marcus J. Thymian

Reg. No. 43,954

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff

300 South Wacker Drive, 32nd floor

Chicago, IL 60606

Telephone:

(312) 913-0001

Facsimile:

(312) 913-0002

Enclosures:

Declaration and Power of Attorney for Patent Application

Declaration of Marcus J. Thymian and accompanying enclosures

Second Declaration of John A. Nix and accompanying enclosures

RECEIVED

JUL 1 7 2002